

Ngāti Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated
Notes of Board Review Hui
6 August 2009 6.30-8.45pm
Heretaunga Taiwhenua

Attendance List

Des Ratima	Mei Whaitiri	Alayna Watene	Tama Huata
Kevin Tamati	WiRangi Whaitiri	Parris Greening	Ruth Wong
Henare O'Keefe	Kara Kire	Zack Makoare	Meka Whaitiri
Frances Harmer	Donna Whitiwhiti	Marei Apatu	Christine Teariki
Waiora Rogers	Robert Whaitiri	Robert Clarke	Mere Whaanga

Karakia: Des Ratima

Overview of hui: Christine Teariki

Overall general comments about the Board Review from the floor.

1. Looking at structures and the questions in the survey.
2. Board appears as a closed shop. Easier to get onto council then onto the NKII Board. Pathway onto the Board needs to change, to give people better access. At the moment it is based on representation rather than skill.
3. There is a need to restructure and have some models to offer.
4. Definite Communication Issues. There have been some significant decisions made without consulting the people – ie Waka Hourua project,
5. Reverse the way the Board is set up. ie Board should be directed by marae/hapu
6. Regarding Iwi Leadership and consistency of elections - Heretaunga Taiwhenua has tried to facilitate quality elections. There have been some inconsistencies; however there have been huge differences regarding the way neighboring taiwhenua run their elections.
7. Not fair when we are trying to provide quality service, time, notification, resources and yet it is not happening else where with other taiwhenua. There is a huge disparity. We have a long way to go.
8. Concerned about TWAO not having any leadership and other taiwhenua.
9. How can NKII have this review without affecting the model of the taiwhenua. (This is a preliminary review. A structural review may come later)
10. Mandate and Representation vs Skills – Would prefer that Kahungunu have absolute control of the process rather than going through all different versions at Taiwhenua level. If you qualify for a place on the iwi board. We could look at rohe representation matched with skill.
11. Iwi is about tangata. It's not about marae or hapu. It's about the person/tangata.
12. The reality of this review is that we need to review from the bottom up.
13. Realistically we can do a lot better and there's a lot to be done.

14. With regard to our current structures, they only allow us mediocrity and they do not aspire us to anything else. The system determines what we get.
15. If there is a structural change, it will take a year or two to be implemented.
16. These six Kanohi ki te Kanohi hui will help.
17. The questionnaire is a base to work from to help people contribute.
18. In order for the systems to change, there needs to be an understanding of what's already there. This is the beginning of the process.
19. Would like to see the evaluation of the board completed first. Would like to know what the current situation is.
20. The Review will not affect the election.
21. What is an iwi, what do we want of it? What we do in Heretaunga is for Heretaunga, what they do in Wairoa, is their business.
22. The Review is about more than what's in the survey.
23. There was an expectation of having the Review panel to come to each marae.
24. How can we have a NKII board review without including in the review the Taiwhenua and their models and structural reviews? Expectation was that it would be more intimate with marae involvement. Where's the love, where's the intimacy, where's the heart? This should be by the people, of the people and for the people.
25. Requested opportunity to speak directly to Board, or even review panel rather than filling in forms.
26. It was strongly advised that a marae to marae visit take place for this review.
27. Observation about the review and the election coming up next year. Here's the review and the notification of the review has already gone out. We can't be into quick fixes. This takes time. Suggest that the elections be held off till the review is complete.
28. The real question is? What are we really reviewing?
29. What is going to represent Ngati Kahungunu?
30. What are the other iwi models?
31. How many participate in the iwi compared to Kahungunu descendants?
32. We should celebrate those descendants participating.
33. A verbal submission would allow people to be heard.
34. Being heard? It's not happening on our marae. As a leader its important. Relationships is about getting in touch with the people.
35. Ngati Kahungunutanga – Why do we need to register to have a say?
36. The review team needs to ask themselves this question? Are we reviewing NKII or Kahungunutanga.
37. Regarding the Board and Heretaunga Taiwhenua. Christine is always consistent with information to the Taiwhenua Board. In terms of anyone else, we cannot comment.
38. In regard to sustainability – we need to identify what area of business concept and concentrate on developing them. If we are having these hui in other areas, it would be good to gather the feedback from each area and feedback to eachother.
39. Different issues will exist in each area.
40. Common goals and concerns from each area will identify needs.

41. All NKII Board meetings are public meetings and these are opportunities to come along to the meetings. The 27th November NKII Board Meeting is when the information will be reported.
42. The positive thing is that this has come away from the NKII Board table and out to the people. There are still those begging questions – however it is positive that we are here.
43. Regarding the structures – recommend having an 11th person to be the Deputy Chair.

Christine updated group on NKII activities.

1. Tihei Kahungunu
2. Waka Hourua – closure of the last waka - 500K
3. Fishing Management Plans

What are the best things our Board does?

- Communications – Panui ki te iwi, Hoeara
- Scholarships 30k
- Sponsorship 30k
- Anti Violence programme
- Tihei Kahungunu
- Takitimu Festival
- Matariki
- Waitangi Day
- Te Reo Planning (CBLI)

Karakia – Tama Huata